

**LL. B. (3 Year Degree Course) SEM-I (2015 Course) (Chose Based  
Credit System) : WINTER - 2018**

**SUBJECT: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW-I**

Day : Thursday  
Date : 29/11/2018

**W-2018-1500**

Time : 10.00 AM TO 01.00 PM  
Max. Marks : 60

---

**N.B.:**

- 1) All questions are **COMPULSORY**.
  - 2) All questions carry **EQUAL** marks.
- 

**Q.1** The definition of the term “the state” specifies the authorities and instrumentalities functioning within or without the territory of India which shall be deemed to be “the state” for the purpose of the part III of the Constitution of India. Elaborate.

**OR**

Explain the test of reasonable classification interpreted under Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

**Q.2** ‘The freedom of speech and expression does not confer an absolute right to speak or publish, without responsibility, whatever one may choose or an unrestricted or unbridled license that gives immunity for every possible use of language and does not prevent punishments for those who abuse this freedom.’ Critically examine.

**OR**

Explain the procedure established by law. Elaborate on the changing dimensions post Makena Gandhi Case.

**Q.3** What is Religion? Elaborate on the kinds of Religious freedoms enumerated under Article 25 and 26 of the Constitution of India.

**OR**

The emergence of *pro bono public litigation* has relaxed the traditional rule of *locus standi* considerably. Explain the scope of Article 32 of the Constitution of India, in the light of the above statement.

**Q.4** Elaborate on the importance of the Directive Principles of State Policy enlisted in Part IV of the Constitution of India.

**OR**

Write notes on:

- a) Educational Rights of Minority
- b) Protection from *Expost-facto* law and Double Jeopardy.

**Q.5** a) A Case study on : Justice Puttuswamy v. Union of India  
b) The allegations has been made in the case were Mr. S. S. Shukla, Chairman of MESE Company had tries to assault Miss. Shagun Arora, Clerk-cum-typist physically. This assault is allege to have taken place in Taji Hotel. Discuss the legal remedies Miss. Shagun Arora has.

**OR**

- a) A Case study on: Bandhua Mukti Morcha v. Union of India
- b) There were two girls, Harshita and Sona who were arrested by the Mumbai Police in 2017 for expressing their displeasure at a bandh called in the wake of ‘Sati Seva’ chief Shriman Mackrey’s death. The girls posted their comment on a social media called Facetool. The girls were arrested by police by misusing their power by invoking sec. 66A of the IT Act, 2005. Help the girls in their battle for justice.

\* \* \* \*